

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,914	03/03/2004	Lee-Yin Chee	PJW190	3848
Paul J. Winters	7590 01/09/200	8	EXAM	INER
307 Cypress Po	oint Drive		CEHIC, KENAN	
Mountain View, CA 94043		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Annication No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
Office Astion Commons	10/791,914	CHEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenan Cehic	2609				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 October 2007</u> .					
,						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	-				
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/ are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks page 5-6, filed 10/13/07, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 2-6 under 35 USC 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Takada et al (US 2002/0089931) in view of Shipley (US 5,633,742).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to

Art Unit: 2616

the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claim 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takada et al (US 2002/0089931) in view of Shipley (US 5,633,742).

For claim 2, Takada discloses comprising: providing a plurality of packet classes (see section 0008-0011 "service classes" and section 0150 "all the flows"); providing a flag (see section 0166 "discarded flag... class identifier...), which may be of a first or a second state (see section 0180 "initial value "0" and fig 4b, (8), (e) and section 0184 "1"), for each of the plurality of packet classes (see section 0166 "discarded flag... class identifier...a flow" and Fig 3; 32); generating a packet (see col 4 lines 18-37 "arriving packet");

belonging to the flow was discarded previously") of the generated packet (see col 4 lines 18-37 "arriving packet") is in the first state (see section 0166 "discarded flag....whether a packet belonging to the flow was discarded previously" and section 0180 "initial value "0"), transmitting the packet (see section 0169 "Keeps the pair including the new packet" and section 0205-0206 "Keeps the pair" and section 0154 "sends...packets").

Art Unit: 2616

if the flag of the packet class (see section 0166 "discarded flag....whether a packet belonging to the flow was discarded previously") of the generated packet (see col 4 lines 18-37 "arriving packet") is in the first state (see section 0166 "discarded flag....whether a packet belonging to the flow was discarded previously" and section 0180 "initial value "0"), changing the flag (see section 0166 "discarded flag....whether a packet belonging to the flow was discarded previously") of the packet class (see section 0166 "discarded flag... class identifier...a flow" and Fig 3; 32) of the generated packet to the second state (see fig 4b, (8), (e) and section 0184 "1").

For claim 3, Takada discloses providing a plurality of packet classes (see section 0008-0011 "service classes" and section 0150 "all the flows"); providing a flag (see section 0166 "discarded flag... class identifier...), which may be of a first or a second state (see section 0180 "initial value "0" and fig 4b, (8), (e) and section 0184 "1"), for each of the plurality of packet classes (see section 0166 "discarded flag... class identifier...a flow" and Fig 3; 32); generating a packet (see col 4 lines 18-37 "arriving packet");

if the flag of the packet class (see section 0166 "discarded flag....whether a packet belonging to the flow was discarded previously") of the generated packet (see col 4 lines 18-37 "arriving packet") is in the first state (see section 0166 "discarded flag....whether a packet belonging to the flow was discarded previously" and section 0180 "initial value""), transmitting the packet (see section 0169 "Keeps the pair including the new packet" and section 0205-0206 "Keeps the pair" and section 0154 "sends...packets").

Art Unit: 2616

if the flag of the packet class (see section 0166 "discarded flag....whether a packet belonging to the flow was discarded previously") of the generated packet (see col 4 lines 18-37 "arriving packet") is in the second state (fig 4b, (8), (e) and section 0184 "1"),, not

transmitting a packet (see fig 4b (10), (h) and section 0170 "Discards the pair...packet").

For claim 3, Takada discloses providing a plurality of packet classes (see section 0008-0011 "service classes" and section 0150 "all the flows");

providing a flag (see section 0166 "discarded flag... class identifier...), which may be of a first or a second state (see section 0180 "initial value "0" and fig 4b, (8), (e) and section 0184 "1"), for each of the plurality of

packet classes (see section 0166 "discarded flag... class identifier...a flow" and Fig 3; 32);

generating a packet (see col 4 lines 18-37 "arriving packet");

if the flag of the packet class (see section 0166 "discarded flag....whether a packet belonging to the flow was discarded previously") of the generated packet (see col 4 lines 18-37 "arriving packet") is in the second state (fig 4b, (8), (e) and section 0184 "1"), not transmitting a packet (see fig 4b (10), (h) and section 0170 "Discards the pair...packet")

For claim 5, Takada discloses (a) providing a plurality of packet classes (see section 0008-0011 "service classes" and section 0150 "all the flows");

Art Unit: 2616

- b) providing an injection *flag* (see section 0166 "discarded flag... class identifier...), which may be of a first or a second state state (see section 0180 "initial value "0" and fig 4b, (8), (e) and section 0184 "1"), for each of the plurality of packet classes (see section 0166 "discarded flag... class identifier...a flow" and Fig 3; 32);
- (c) generating a packet (see col 4 lines 18-37 "arriving packet");
- (d) if the injection **flag** of the packet class (see section 0166 "discarded flag....whether a packet belonging to the flow was discarded previously") of the generated packet (see col 4 lines 18-37 "arriving packet") is in the second state (fig 4b, (8), (e) and section 0184 "1"),

not transmitting a packet (see fig 4b (10), (h) and section 0170 "Discards the pair...packet")

(e) if the injection flag of the packet class (see section 0166 "discarded flag....whether a packet belonging to the flow was discarded previously") of the generated packet (see col 4 lines 18-37 "arriving packet") is in the *first* state (see section 0166 "discarded flag....whether a packet belonging to the flow was discarded previously" and section 0180 "initial value "0"),

transmitting the packet (see section 0169 "Keeps the pair including the new packet" and settion 0205-0206 "Keeps the pair" and section 0154 "sends...packets")
and setting the injection flag of the packet class (see section 0166 "discarded flag....whether a packet belonging to the flow was discarded previously") of the

Art Unit: 2616

generated packet (see col 4 lines 18-37 "arriving packet") to the second state (see fig 4b, (8), (e) and section 0184 "1")

For claim 5, Takada discloses futher comprising repeating steps (c) through (e) thereof (see section 0046 "new packets")

Takada is silent about:

For claim 2, A method for use in verification of a device, testing a device when transmitting a packet

For claim 3,4, and 5 A method for use in verification of a device, testing a device when transmitting a packet and not testing the device when not transmitting a packet.

For claim 2, a method for use in verification of a device

Shipley from the same or similar field of endeavor discloses a communication network with the following features:

For claim 2, Shipley discloses A method for use in verification (see col 14 25-35 "tests the battery voltage") of a device (see fig 9, 31), testing a device (see col 14 25-35 "tests the battery voltage") when transmitting a packet (see col 14 25-35 "tests the battery voltage...transmitted packet")

For claim 3 and 4, Shipley discloses a method for use in verification (see col 14 25-35 "tests the battery voltage") of a device (see fig 9, 31), testing a device (see col 14 25-35 "tests the battery voltage") when transmitting a packet (see col 14 25-35 "tests the battery

Art Unit: 2616

voltage...transmitted packet") and not testing the device (see col 14 25-35 "tests the battery voltage...transmitted packet") when not transmitting a packet (see col 14 25-35 "tests the battery voltage...transmitted packet").

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Takada et al by using the features, as taught by Shipley, in order to provide a monitoring system for communication devices that are battery powered (see columns 3-4).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US-2003/0061581 A1	03-2003	Baumgartner et al.
US-2003/0142629 A1	07-2003	Krishnamurthi et al.
US-2004/0223458 A1	11-2004	Gentle, Christopher Reon
US-2006/0209709 A1	09-2006	Kovacevic, Branko D.
US-2007/0008897 A1	01-2007	Denton et al.
US-2007/0147257 A1	06-2007	Oskouy et al.
US-2007/0168748 A1	07-2007	Musoll, Enrique

The above are recited to show test packet generation and test systems.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenan Cehic whose telephone number is (571) 270-3120. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00-5:30.

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kwang Yao can be reached on (571) 272-3182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KWANG BIN YAO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

KC